

Results of Pre-Pilot Survey


April 2001

*Research and Performance Outcome
Development Unit*


Pre-Pilot Survey Information

- Survey of Perceptions of the Current Children's Performance Outcome System
- Conducted at beginning of Pilot Training Sessions at County Sites (Late 2000 - Early 2001)


Pre-Pilot Survey Responses by County from Pilot Training Sessions (N=293)




**Pre-Pilot Survey Respondents
from Pilot Training Sessions (N=293)**



"How long have you been in a position doing the kind of work you are currently doing?" (N=293)



"How much experience would you say you have administering the current children's performance outcome instruments?" (N=293)



Summary of Responses Regarding Existing Performance Outcome System (N=293)

Pre-Pilot Survey Categories	Expressed some level of:		Neutral	Unknown
	Dissatisfaction	Satisfaction		
Ease of Administration	44.0%	21.2%	14.3%	20.5%
Value of the Data Collected	33.8%	25.3%	17.1%	23.8%
Ease of Use	38.9%	14.3%	15.7%	31.1%
Other Important Issues	43.7%	18.8%	11.6%	25.9%

Ease of Administration (by County)

COUNTY	Expressed some level of:		Neutral
	Dissatisfaction	Satisfaction	
ALAMEDA (N=19)	89.5%	0.0%	10.5%
AMADOR (N=6)	83.3%	0.0%	16.7%
GLENN (N=4)	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
KERN (N=33)	60.6%	21.2%	18.2%
LOS ANGELES (N=46)	37.0%	41.3%	21.7%
NAPA (N=7)	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%
SACRAMENTO (N=14)	50.0%	14.3%	35.7%
SAN BENITO (N=14)	50.0%	28.6%	21.4%
SAN JOAQUIN (N=4)	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%
SONOMA (N=18)	27.8%	50.0%	22.2%
STANISLAUS (N=8)	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%
SUTTER YUBA (N=11)	54.5%	27.3%	18.2%
TRI-CITY (N=21)	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%
TULARE (N=16)	93.8%	0.0%	6.3%
VENTURA (N=8)	62.5%	0.0%	37.5%
MISSING/UNKNOWN (N=4)	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%

Value of the Data Collected (by County)

COUNTY	Expressed some level of:		Neutral
	Dissatisfaction	Satisfaction	
ALAMEDA (N=19)	68.4%	15.8%	15.8%
AMADOR (N=6)	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
GLENN (N=5)	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%
KERN (N=30)	63.3%	23.3%	13.3%
LOS ANGELES (N=40)	37.5%	45.0%	17.5%
NAPA (N=7)	14.3%	71.4%	14.3%
SACRAMENTO (N=14)	57.1%	21.4%	21.4%
SAN BENITO (N=12)	41.7%	33.3%	25.0%
SAN JOAQUIN (N=4)	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
SONOMA (N=17)	23.5%	41.2%	35.3%
STANISLAUS (N=11)	18.2%	63.6%	18.2%
SUTTER YUBA (N=10)	30.0%	50.0%	20.0%
TRI-CITY (N=23)	13.0%	34.8%	52.2%
TULARE (N=16)	93.8%	0.0%	6.3%
VENTURA (N=5)	40.0%	0.0%	60.0%
MISSING/UNKNOWN (N=4)	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%


Ease of Use (by County)

COUNTY	Expressed some level of:		Neutral
	Dissatisfaction	Satisfaction	
ALAMEDA (N=15)	94.4%	0.0%	5.6%
AMADOR (N=6)	85.7%	0.0%	14.3%
GLENN (N=4)	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%
KERN (N=31)	61.3%	19.4%	19.4%
LOS ANGELES (N=37)	48.8%	41.5%	9.8%
NAPA (N=6)	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%
SACRAMENTO (N=9)	46.2%	46.2%	7.7%
SAN BENITO (N=10)	69.2%	23.1%	7.7%
SAN JOAQUIN (N=3)	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
SONOMA (N=11)	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%
STANISLAUS (N=11)	72.7%	27.3%	0.0%
SUTTER YUBA (N=10)	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%
TRI-CITY (N=23)	40.9%	18.2%	40.9%
TULARE (N=17)	94.1%	5.9%	0.0%
VENTURA (N=5)	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%
MISSING/UNKNOWN (N=4)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%


Other Important Issues (by County)

COUNTY	Expressed some level of:		Neutral
	Dissatisfaction	Satisfaction	
ALAMEDA (N=18)	94.4%	0.0%	5.6%
AMADOR (N=7)	85.7%	0.0%	14.3%
GLENN (N=5)	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%
KERN (N=31)	61.3%	19.4%	19.4%
LOS ANGELES (N=41)	48.8%	41.5%	9.8%
NAPA (N=6)	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%
SACRAMENTO (N=13)	46.2%	46.2%	7.7%
SAN BENITO (N=13)	69.2%	23.1%	7.7%
SAN JOAQUIN (N=4)	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
SONOMA (N=11)	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%
STANISLAUS (N=11)	72.7%	27.3%	0.0%
SUTTER YUBA (N=10)	30.0%	40.0%	30.0%
TRI-CITY (N=22)	40.9%	18.2%	40.9%
TULARE (N=17)	94.1%	5.9%	0.0%
VENTURA (N=5)	40.0%	20.0%	40.0%
MISSING/UNKNOWN (N=3)	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%


"How important is it to you that an effective Mental Health Performance Outcome System be Designed and Implemented?" (N=293)




Ease of Administration - Time to Complete Existing Instruments (N=293)




Ease of Administration - How Easy to Read and Understand (N=293)




Value of the Data Collected - for Developing Treatment Plan (N=293)




Value of the Data Collected - for Quality Management (N=293)




Ease of Use - of Reports Generated (N=293)




Ease of Use - Integrating w/Data Mgmt System (N=293)




Culturally Neutral/Nonbiased (N=293)




Strength Based (N=293)



Suitability for Target Population (N=293)



Long-Term Use of this System (N=293)



Summary of Mean Scores by Respondent

Pre-Pilot Survey Questions	Lo/Hi Rating	MEAN SCORE BY TYPE OF RESPONDENT					Overall Mean
		Clinician	Administrator	Quality Mgmt	Director	Parent Rep.	
Importance of Perf. Outcome System	1/7	5.16	6.00	5.91	4.00	7.00	5.49
Ease of Admin-Time to Complete	1/5	2.31	2.54	2.56	1.50	1.50	2.37
Ease of Admin-to Read/Understand	1/5	2.87	2.87	3.30	2.33	2.75	2.88
Data Value for Treatment Plan	1/5	2.81	3.02	3.33	2.67	3.25	2.89
Data Value for Quality Mgmt	1/5	2.44	2.74	3.30	2.00	3.50	2.60
Ease of Use of Reports Generated	1/5	2.56	2.62	2.70	2.00	3.50	2.57
Ease of Use Integrating w/Data Systems	1/5	2.37	2.47	2.60	2.00	3.00	2.41
Culturally Neutral/Nonbiased	1/5	2.67	2.62	3.13	1.33	3.20	2.70
Strength Based	1/5	2.58	2.63	2.67	2.67	2.80	2.65
Suitability for Target Population	1/5	2.82	2.95	3.11	1.50	2.80	2.90
Long-Term Use of this System	1/5	2.36	2.54	2.50	2.67	2.75	2.41

Summary of Mean Scores by SOC Groupings

Pre-Pilot Survey Questions	Lo/Hi Rating	MEAN SCORE BY TYPE OF SOC GROUPING			
		1st (Ventura, Los Angeles & Stanislaus)	2nd (Napa, San Joaquin & Sonoma)	3rd (Alameda, Kern & Sacramento)	4th (Amador, Glenn, San Benito, Sutter- Yuba, Tri-City, & Tulare)
Length of Time in Type of Position	1/6	3.40	4.14	3.49	3.55
Experience in Administering Current Instruments	0/5	3.27	2.70	2.82	2.64
Importance of Perf. Outcome System	1/7	5.78	5.24	5.56	5.24
Ease of Admin-Time to Complete	1/5	2.67	3.10	2.06	2.09
Ease of Admin-to Read/Understand	1/5	3.35	3.38	2.43	2.69
Data Value for Treatment Plan	1/5	3.31	3.47	2.46	2.62
Data Value for Quality Mgmt	1/5	2.98	2.86	2.31	2.39
Ease of Use of Reports Generated	1/5	2.97	3.04	2.29	2.30
Ease of Use Integrating w/Data Systems	1/5	2.86	2.45	2.23	2.17
Culturally Neutral/Nonbiased	1/5	2.93	2.87	2.40	2.68
Strength Based	1/5	2.97	2.43	2.43	2.63
Suitability for Target Population	1/5	3.21	3.23	2.52	2.82
Long-Term Use of this System	1/5	2.80	2.64	2.08	2.31